

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/751,351                  | 01/05/2004                             | James R. Hornsby        | 6677.02             | 2223             |  |
| 7590 05/10/2004             |  | •                       | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| Sean D. Solberg             |  |                         | ABDELWAHED, ALI F   |                  |  |
| DORSEY & W                  |  | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|                             | perty Department<br>Street, Suite 1500 | 3712                    |                     |                  |  |
| Minneapolis, M              | IN 55402-1498                          | DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004 |                     |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application  | No.  | Applicant(s)  |                           |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/751,351   |  | HORNSBY ET AL   |                           |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner   |  | Art Unit  |                           |  |  |
|   |   | Ali Abdelwa  |  | 3712  | Idross                    |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply   |   |  |  |   |                           |  |  |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event<br>ply within the statuto<br>I will apply and will e | , however, may a reply be timery minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the property of the pro | nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly.<br>communication.     |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |   |                           |  |  |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  |  |   |                           |  |  |
| 2a)□  | a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  |  |  |   | e merits is               |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |   |                           |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims   |  |  |   |                           |  |  |
| 4)⊠<br>5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□  | Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  | awn from con   |  |   |                           |  |  |
| Applicat  | ion Papers  |  |  |   |                           |  |  |
| 10)   | The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | ccepted or b)[<br>ne drawing(s) be<br>ection is require                  | e held in abeyance. Send if the drawing(s) is o  | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>bjected to. See 37 (  | CFR 1.121(d).<br>PTO-152. |  |  |
| Priority  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |   |                           |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |   |  |  |   |                           |  |  |
| 2)  No  | ent(s)  tice of References Cited (PTO-892)  tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/oper No(s)/Mail Date   | (08)   | 4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:  |   | PTO-152)                  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/751,351

Art Unit: 3712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claims 10, 15, and 16 are objected to because of the following informalities:

It is suggested that in:

Claim 10, lines 10 and 11, delete "fixed" and insert --stationary--.

Claim 15, line 2, before "...signal..." delete "the" and insert -a--.

Claim 16, line 1, delete the period at the end of the line and insert --,--.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 10 and 11 recite the limitation "the slidable member" in lines 8, 9, and 2, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the motor" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,351

Art Unit: 3712

Claim(s) 13 and 14 depend from rejected claim(s) 10 and include all of the limitations of claim(s) 10 thereby rendering these dependent claim(s) indefinite.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the moving parts" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,708,685 to Udagawa in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,524,326 to Markowitz.

Udagawa discloses the claimed invention except for the device being remotely controlled and the magnetic portion being coupled to the legs of the body portion. However, Markowitz teaches a remotely controlled toy animal (see figs.1-4, and respective portions of the specification). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Udagawa, in view of Markowitz, such that it would provide the device of Udagawa with the concept of having a remotely controlled device for the purpose of enhancing the entertainment value and versatility of the device. Furthermore, it would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/751,351

Art Unit: 3712

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Udagawa to have the magnetic portion coupled to the legs of the body portion, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,672,934 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed subject matter of the present application is fully disclosed in the specification of U.S. Patent No. 6,672,934 B2 and covered by the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,672,934 B2. The claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,672,934 B2 are inclusive for they are drafted using the "comprising-type" format and cover the claimed subject matter of the present application.

Art Unit: 3712

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Abdelwahed whose telephone number is (703) 305-3311. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

AA 05/04/2004

DERRIS H. BANKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700